

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/648,399	08/27/2003	Keiichi Sato	HIRA.0122	5338		
38327	7590 10/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
REED SMIT		ALANKO, AN	ALANKO, ANITA KAREN			
	EW PARK DRIVE, SUI RCH,  VA   22042	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		1765			
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/648,399	SATO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Anita K. Alanko	1765	

zerere and ranning or an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Anita K. Alanko	1765						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
HE REPLY FILED 18 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following								
time periods:  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPÉP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ul>	corresponding number of finally rej		the issues for					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 rejections.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 2 and 3.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi	•	_					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by		·						
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
		Anita K. Alanko Primary Examiner	avhr					

Art Unit: 1765

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants arguments about photoetching, photobleaching and photobrightening are not commensurate in scope with the claim language. The prior art still teaches the same steps of irradiation, and therefore the same results are expected. The motivation to combine the teachings of Torimoto and Sato is that Torimoto teaches that size-selective photoetching is useful to prepare monodisperse nanoparticles.